Class and Section: MIS4173-001 Date: 04/01/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 3**

Team 1:

Hunter Collins, Lee Gillcoat, G Okoth, Maiya Woodward

SR&R 3 Documentation Grade: **96** SR&R 3 Presentation Grade: **95**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 3 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (04/01/2022) |

#### SR&R 3 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 3 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **5** | 5 |
| Complete Data Flow Diagram Package | **20** | 18 |
| Navigation Diagram | **5** | 4 |
| Entity Relationship Diagrams | **10** | 10 |
| Program Plan showing logic and I/Os (IPO Chart with each program listed) | **10** | 9 |
| New Documentation | **5** | 5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 6 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 96 |

#### SR&R 3 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| DFD Package | **30** | 27 |
| Initial ERD & IPO Chart | **15** | 14 |
| Project Updates on Previous/New Information/Documentation | **10** | 9 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 10 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 95 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Good job on making your report start looking like a business document. Looks very elegant and professional! Well done!
* DFD Level 0:
  + Datastore names need to be consistent with the ERD
  + Entities should be squared corners
* DFD Level 1 is fine
* See other comments about DFD, ERD, IPO, NAV below in the PRESENTATION section
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are better, but no user requirements were on the Sprint 3 backlog
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good. 28 hours short . . .
  + Sprint 3 Team Evaluation is very good. When do you want to meet for more feedback?
* Standard naming conventions are good
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* Remember, the first diagram of a DFD package should always be the CD—you immediately went to the lvl 0 diagram
* Lvl 1 looked fine
* ERD looks fine – DFD datastores do not match the ERD table names
* IPO chart needs more pseudo code for process—what info is entered—put that in either the Input or the Process
* Nav diagram does not have arrow heads leading down—add them
* Sprints items and PSPI were fine